

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Dax 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/843,390	09/843,390 04/25/2001		Thomas Schwegle	67107	9958
22242	7590	05/02/2003			
		N AND FLANNI	EXAMINER		
120 SOUTH LA SALLE STREET SUITE 1600 CHICAGO, IL 60603-3406				WONG, LESLIE A	
				ARTINIT	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1761	
				DATE MAILED: 05/02/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/843,390

Applicant(s)

Schwegle et al.

Examiner

Leslie Wong

Art Unit 1761

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>three</u> MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. 						
eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the	and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on Feb 19, 2	2003 .					
	tion is non-final.					
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
ion of Claims						
Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 14-18</u>	is/are pending in the application.					
	is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
Claim(s) <u>1-7</u>	is/are allowed.					
Claim(s) <u>8 and 14-18</u>	is/are rejected.					
	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
The drawing(s) filed on is/are	$oxed{a}$ accepted or $oldsymbol{b}$) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply						
12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
_						
. Certified copies of the priority documents hav						
. Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received in Application No					
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).						
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
attachment(s)						
e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).					
e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
3] Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:						
	OR REPLY DRIENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In date of this communication. priod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within priod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause by received by the Office later than three menths after the mailing date of partent term edjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Responsive to communication(s) filled on Feb 19, 2 This action is FINAL. 2b) This ac Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa ion of Claims Claim(s) 1-8 and 14-18 a) Of the above, claim(s) Claim(s) 8 and 14-18 Claim(s) 1-7 Claim(s) 8 and 14-18 Claim(s) Claim(s) 6 and 14-18 Claim(s) 7-7 Claim(s) 8 and 14-18 Claim(s) 9 and 14-18 Claim(s) 1-7 Claim(s) 8 and 14-18 Claim(s) 1-7 Claim(s) 9 and 120 Applicant may not request that any objection to the communication of the communication of the proposed drawing correction filed on If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the communication of the priority documents have ander 35 U.S.C. § 119 and 120 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pa All b) Some* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have application from the International Bures the attached detailed Office action for a list of the Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic The translation of the foreign language provisional acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic The translation of the foreign language provisional acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic The of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)					

Art Unit: 1761

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout and content for patent applications.

These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

The following order or arrangement is preferred in framing the specification and, except for the reference to the drawings, each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underling or bold type, as section headings. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) Title of the Invention.
- (b) Cross-Reference to Related Applications.
- (c) Statement Regarding Federally Sponsored Research or Development.
- (d) Reference to a "Sequence Listing," a table, or a computer program listing appendix submitted on compact disc (see 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5)).
- (e) Background of the Invention.
 - 1. Field of the Invention.
 - 2. Description of the Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) Brief Summary of the Invention.
- (g) Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s).
- (h) Detailed Description of the Invention.
- (I) Claim or Claims (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) Abstract of the Disclosure (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) Drawings.
- (l) Sequence Listing, if on paper (see 37 CFR 1.821-1.825).

Claims 1-7 are allowed.

Art Unit: 1761

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8 and 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dunn et al in view of Asher et al for the reasons set forth in rejecting the claims in the last Office action (Paper No. 6).

Dunn et al disclose a starch based texturizing agent and process for preparing comprising a combination of starch, gums, and whey protein (see entire document, especially claims 1, 5, 9, and 25).

The claims differ as to the specific use of whey protein concentrate.

Asher et al disclose a texturizing agent and process of preparing comprising the use of whey protein concentrate.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use whey protein concentrate as taught by Asher et al in that of Dunn et al because whey protein concentrate is a conventional source of whey protein.

Applicant's arguments filed February 19, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/843390

Art Unit: 1761

Applicant argues that the heating process of Dunn et al differs from that of the claimed invention.

Claims 8 and 14-18 are product claims and not process claims. Dunn teaches a combination of starch, gums, and whey protein, and Asher teaches the use of a whey protein concentrate. Both references are directed to texturizing agents and all of the claimed components are taught.

Applicant argues that the claimed invention provides a means for reducing or completely preventing uncontrolled protein agglomeration in protein-containing dairy products.

Applicant does not claim these limitations nor has Applicant provided a showing of unexpected results.

In the absence of unexpected results, it is not seen how claims 8 and 14-18 differ from the teachings of the prior art. Applicant's claims are drawn to a combination of known components which produces expected results, see In re Kerkhoven 205 USPQ 1069 and In re Gershon 152 USPQ 602.

All of the claim limitations and arguments have been considered.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE

Art Unit: 1761

EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Wong whose telephone number is (703) 308-1979. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

The fax number for this Group is (703) 872-9310 for non-final responses and (703) 872-9311 for after-final responses.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Leslie Wong

Leslie Wong

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1761

LAW April 24, 2003